EXHIBIT 10

.

,

Exhibit 10 has been filed under seal under separate cover

.

	CONFIDENTIAL	1
	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	
1	VOLUME: I	
2	PAGES: 1-191	
3	EXHIBITS: 115-132	
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	X	
9	GEN-PROBE INCORPORATED,	
10	Plaintiff,	
11	v. C.A. No.	
12	VYSIS, INC., 99CV2668 H (AJB)	
13	Defendant.	
14	X	
15	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	
16		
17	DEPOSITION OF JAMES C. RICHARDS	
18	March 30, 2001	
19	9:51 a.m.	
20	Westin Hotel	
21	70 Third Avenue	
22	Waltham, Massachusetts	
23		
24	Reporter: Michael D. O'Connor, RPR	
	Ex. <u>/0 Pg. 5/</u>	
	MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400	

•

•

edered "edeede

is vi

_,)`

Ş

.

Plaintiff in the case is Gen-Probe Incorporated and the Defendant in the case is Vysis, Inc. Do you understand that Vysis is the successor to Gene-Trak Systems? A. Yes. Q. Let's discuss your educational background briefly. Vysis has produced some documents in the case which lead me to believe that I know something about your background, but I'd like to confirm it.

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

7

Did you obtain a Bachelor of Science in microbiology and chemistry from the University of Illinois?

A. Yes.

Q. When did you graduate?

A. 1970.

Q. Did you obtain a Ph.D. in microbiology and biochemistry from Southern Illinois

19 | University?

A. Yes.

Q. When did you obtain that degree?

22 A. '78, '79.

Q. And after you obtained your Ph.D. from
Southern Illinois University, did you do

Ex. <u>/0</u> Pg.<u>52</u>

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

ΠU

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

20

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Do you recall when you left DuPont to 1 0. go to work for Amoco? 2 3 Α. Yes. when was that? 4 0. December, '84, January, '85; that was 5 Α. I don't know when I left. I think it 6 the time. was before Christmas of '84, but I can't 7 remember exactly. 8 when you joined DuPont you became 9 0. program manager for the nucleic acid probe 10 development group? 11 Excuse me, which company? 12 Α. when you joined Amoco --13 Q. Amoco, yes. Α. 14 -- in December of '84, January of '85, 15 Q. you became program manager for the nucleic acid 16 probe development group? 17 I left DuPont December, '84. I 18 Α. started at Amoco February 1 of '85. 19 Thanks. At that time what job --20 0. Program manager, DNA probe 21 Α. development. 22 Did you stay in that position with 23 Ο. Amoco until you left for Gene-Trak? 24 Ex. 10 Pg. 53 MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

(212) 557-7400

Ú U Ц ų G m 5 åطٍ ΠŲ

ΠIJ

O

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Yes. 1 Α. You left for Gene-Trak sometime in 2 Q. 1986? 3 Roughly October, '86. Α. 4 So you were at Amoco from February of 0. 5 '85 to October of 1986? 6 Correct. 7 Α. while you were program manager of the 0. 8 nucleic acid probe development group at Amoco, 9 what kind of work did you or your group do? 10 I was alone and I wrote the business 11 Α. 12 plan for DNA probes for Amoco. When you say you were alone, there 13 Q. weren't people that reported to you? 14 Oh, wait a minute. Time out. Ι 15 NO. Α. can't remember if Bach and Ryan and the 16 It doesn't engineers reported to me or Lawrie. 17 I was doing business development. 18 matter. I'd like you to look at Exhibit 38, 19 **Q**. which aught be the next one in the book behind 20 21 the '338 patent, which is an organizational 22 chart. This organizational chart has been previously marked in the case as Exhibit 38. 23 It appears to be --24 Ex. /0 Pg.54

> MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Oh, I had sample prep, that's right, 1 Α. and I had the engineers I guess. 2 Let him ask the questions. MR. BANKS: 3 I'm sorry. I don't remember. Α. 4 This appears to be an undated 5 0. organization chart related to the DNA probe 6 effort at Amoco. To the best of your 7 recollection, does this chart, Exhibit 38, 8 reflect the organization of the probe group in 9 1986? 10 11 Α. Yes. Can you tell from looking at this 12 **0**. chart who reported to you or does it refresh 13 your recollection? 14 I will tell you, now I remember. 15 Α. Kessler was doing sample prep, and Bach and Ryan 16 in the engineering group were doing the system, 17 and they loosely reported to me. I don't 18 remember Halbert and Dudzik. I thought they 19 reported to Lawrie. The rest of this was all 20 Lawrie. That's why I say, I was working on 21 business development for the most part, and the 22 only reason Bach and Ryan reported to me because 23 I knew them at DuPont, and I hired Jack from 24 Ex. <u>/O</u> Pg. <u>55</u>

> MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

oeresou soeresou

ΠIJ



putting enzymes on Mark's target capturing 1 method, removing noise, and generating a higher 2 signal. So we used target capture and signal 3 amplification, i.e., using the ELISA type 4 approach. But we were also doing radioactive 5 labels, and we were, of course, all aware of 6 other things that were out there. 7 Do you know who at Amoco had the 8 Q. original idea to combine target capture and some 9 form of amplification? 10 It might have been Mark, but I don't 11 Α. remember. 12 while you were at Amoco, did you ever 0. 13 have the understanding that Collins, King, 14 Halbert and Lawrie had conceived of an invention 15 that involved the combination of target capture 16 and amplification? 17 John mentioned it to me once. 18 Α. what did he tell you, that you can 19 Q. 20 remember. Well, in writing the business plan, I 21 Α. was always concerned about rare targets, and one 22 day John came into my office -- we were right 23 down the hall at Amoco from each other -- and he 24 Ex. <u>/0</u> Pg. <u>56</u>

> MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

osrao socreereo

ΠŲ



1 said, we've got a way to make more targets, and 2 he described the method, and I didn't understand 3 the method, because I had never used it in my 4 research, and it was Klenow and some other 5 stuff.

He explained you could do this in a 6 way to make more target, and I said, what about 7 He said, You could do PCR, but you could 8 PCR? also use this, and I said, well, okay. Sounds 9 good to me, and off he went. That was it. Ι 10 mean, we didn't pursue it, because we had a 11 clear business structure, and it was target 12 cycling, and an enzyme label, and we were going 13 to go do this new business, and I said, well, 14 when you get it proven, come and see me 15 basically. 16

Q. In part of your statement you used the term "rare targets." By that term are you referring to targets that are in a sample in low concentration?

A. Right.

Q. Did you ever have an understanding
about how this invention was conceived, whether
it was at a brainstorming meeting?

Ex. <u>/0</u> Pg. <u>5</u>

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

osteo "aoetraeo

ΠJ

21

		38
	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	
1	Gene-Trak deal.	
2	Q. Do you remember that the first article	
3	on PCR was published in "Nature" in about	
4	December, 1985?	
5	A. No, I don't remember that.	
6	Q. When the first article describing PCR	
7	was published, was it big news?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. After that article was published, did	
10	other people in the industry outside Cetus begin	
11	looking for alternative ways to do the same	
12	thing?	
13	MR. BANKS: Objection to form.	
14	A. Do I know if they were?	
15	Q. Right.	
16	A. I don't know.	
17	Q. Do you know whether Amoco started to	
18	think about what it could do that would be	
19	similar to PCR?	
20	A. Amoco owned 25 percent of Cetus at	
21	that time, and discussions were running around	
22	should we take a license to this, because we	
23	owned 25 percent of the company, and that was	
24	the extent of the discussion, and that was way Ex. <u>10</u> Pg. <u>58</u>	
	MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400	

.

•

. ·

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY did you live in the Chicago area? 1 '85 to '86, and I lived in Lisle. 2 Α. Outside of Chicago? 3 0. Next to Naperville about 100 feet or Α. 4 so; very close, next door. 5 And when you went to work for 6 0. Gene-Trak in about October of '86, did you move 7 to the Boston area? 8 9 Α. Framingham. Did Halbert, King, Collins and Lawrie 10 Q. also move from Amoco to Gene-Trak? 11 Yes, I believe so. Α. 12 Prior to the time that Gene-Trak was 13 Q. formed, were you involved in discussions or 14 negotiations concerning the value of the 15 respective contributions that were being made by 16 Amoco and Integrated Genetics? 17 Me involved in the valuation? I don't 18 Α. remember. 19 were you involved in the negotiations 20 Q. between Amoco and Integrated Genetics? 21 No, as an absolute. Gar Royer 22 Α. NO. and Ed Mason were the main Amoco, I believe, 23 people involved in the face-to-face 24 Ex. 10 Pg. 5 MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

(212) 557-7400

eroerteo. Soorteo

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 Α. Yes. About the same time? 2 0. About the same time. Α. 3 And he is shown here as being the 0. 4 manager of scientific affairs? 5 Yes. Α. 6 In that position, what did he do? 7 0. He was going to be in charge of 8 Α. clinical trials, setting up the ways --9 actually, his primary responsibility was to set 10 up what we called our clinical reference 11 laboratory, where we were going to bring in real 12 clinical samples from patients to do probe 13 capture of pathogens, and it had to be a BL-3 14 lab, a containment facility. It was literally a 15 full-time job just doing that. We set it up in 16 a separate building. 17 And as director of business 18 0. development and licensing at Gene-Trak, what 19 were your responsibilities? 20 Licensing technology, licensing in, 21 Α. licensing out, if we could. If R&D needed 22 something, go out and find it, basically if they 23 needed a new technology, go out and get a 24

> MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

Ex. 10 Pg. 60

SOETEO. addeeed

Ĵ

license, constantly assessing the business plan,
 are we on target, setting milestones, assisting
 Connoy with the budget, making sure we were
 achieving our milestones. It's what business
 development is.

Q. So part of your job was dealing with
7 the technology assets and the technology needs
8 of R&D?

9 A. Yes, I think that's fair.
10 Q. Now, the technology assets of a
11 company are sometimes referred to as
12 intellectual property?

A. IP, yes.

Q. IP includes things like patents,trademarks, confidential business information?

A. Mostly in my case it was patents,
memoranda of invention, trademarking, I guess,
but it was handled mostly by the attorneys.

Q. When you say "patents," that would
include issued patents and it would include
pending patent applications?

A. In this case, I can tell you it was
almost exclusively what we were inventing at
Gene-Trak in the form of MOIs, and having them
Ex. 10 Pg. 64

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

D953390e . C2120

FU.

And you were on that committee? Q. 1 Α. correct. 2 And the committee established 0. 3 priorities for filing patent applications based 4 on the memorandum of invention? 5 Not completely. I mean, it had to Α. 6 have a business value. I mean, that's why I was 7 Is this going to help us meet our there. 8 milestones, or is this just extra stuff, but we 9 aren't using it, so therefore, we've got to be 10 working on the things that we need for 11 commercialization. So there's business criteria 12 is how you prioritize these. 13 So would the patent committee both 14 0. look at the science of a memorandum of invention 15 and the business application of that science? 16 A. As it pertained to our existing 17 milestones. 18 While you were at Gene-Trak, were you 19 Q. involved in any out-licensing activities? 20 T don't remember. 21 Α. while you were at Gene-Trak, were you 22 Q. involved in any in licensing? 23 24 Yes. Α. Ex. <u>10</u> Pg. <u>62</u>

> MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

ΠŲ

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNETS ETES ONET
Q. So in licensing would take place if
some other company had technology or
intellectual property that Gene-Trak was
interested in using in its business?
A. Not just companies, but, yes. It
could be universities, whatever. Somebody else
owned it.
Q. If somebody else had some
technology
A. That we might need.
Q that Gene-Trak thought might be
useful, you would get involved in trying to
license that technology for Gene-Trak?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Dr. Klinger get involved in
licensing activities?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you involved in the negotiation
of most of the licenses that Gene-Trak took?
A. Involved, yes.
Q. Were you involved in evaluating
technologies that Gene-Trak was looking at to
license?
A. Yes. Ex. <u>(0</u> Pg. <u>63</u>

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

ese acter acteres acteres

 \bigcirc



CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY There were others, other methods. 1 There were other methods? 2 **Q**. (Witness nods). 3 Α. There were other sequence specific 0. 4 methods before PCR? 5 Before PCR? I don't know the timing, Α. 6 but salk, and there were others. 7 Looking at Exhibit 45, if a 8 0. presentation was made to the partnership 9 committee meeting on patents in the summer of 10 '87, is it likely that you made the 11 presentation? 12 13 Α. Yes. And if a presentation was made on 14 0. nucleic acid amplification strategy, is it 15 likely that Dr. Lawrie made the presentation or 16 17 would you have made it? It probably would have been me. This 18 Α. 19 looks like it would have been me. Is there anything here that tells you 20 Q. it would have been you or suggests to you it 21 would have been you? 22 Yes, because it looks like it came off 23 Α. of my Macintosh computer, the type. I recognize 24 Ex. <u>10</u> Pg. <u>64</u> MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

ostao aoeteo

Ð

	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
1	of doing nucleic gymnastics. Discrete date,
2	no. I don't have any discrete date or time. It
3	was an ongoing intellectual discussion.
4	Q. I'd like you to look at, I think it's
5	the fourth page of this pack of schematics,
6	Exhibit 49. It's got a No. 4 in the upper
7	left-hand corner, and it talks about specific
8	capture, apparently followed by nonspecific
9	amplification, and then another specific capture
10	step. Do you see that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Did you understand this to be the
13	method that Dr. Lawrie had discussed with you,
14	the Collins method?
15	A. Do you mean not looking at this?
16	Q. Right.
17	A. Yes. Again, the hexadecamer, Klenow,
18	yes, that's what I remember.
19	Q. Hexadecamer, when you use that term,
20	are you referring to a hexamer primer?
21	A. It was the one you could buy from
22	commercial sources. They were, I think, random.
23	Q. So when you're using the term
24	"hexadecamer primer," you're referring to a
	Ex. <u>/0</u> Pg. <u>65</u>
	MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

(212) 557-7400

 \bigcirc

77

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY commercially available random hexamer primer? 1 That was my understanding of the 2 Α. nonspecific amplification concept. 3 And that was what you understood Dr. 0. 4 Lawrie to have talked to you about? 5 Among others, yes. Α. 6 The fourth thought here on the fourth 7 Q. page of Exhibit 49 is a question, "Too close to 8 Cetus." Do you see that? 9 Yes. 10 Α. Do you have any recollection of there 11 Q. being concern at Gene-Trak that the method of 12 doing specific capture in conjunction with 13 nonspecific amplification might be too close to 14 the PCR method? 15 I don't remember that. This is not my Α. 16 somebody else did this stuff. 17 thing. I'd like you to look at what's 18 0. previously been marked as Exhibit 53, if you 19 would. Exhibit 53, the first page of Exhibit 53 20 is entitled, "Partnership Committee Meeting, 21 January 23, 1987." Item 7 on the list is 22 "Patent Strategy," and your name appears 23 opposite that. 24 Ex. 10 Pg. 66

> MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

DETED, DDEE

ΠIJ

	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
1	him?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. When presentations on patents were
4	given to the partnership committee, is it your
5	recollection that you gave those presentations?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Was there a reason that you gave the
8	presentations and not Mr. Janiuk or Mr. Hofer?
9	A. I don't believe I gave patent
10	presentations. I think I talked about the
11	business implications of what they might
12	reflect. I didn't and don't understand claim
13	language, then or now. I used to mess it up.
14	so I stuck pretty much to the business
15	relationship between the patent and claims and
16	what we were trying to accomplish. I just stuck
17	to the business.
18	Q. I'd like you to look back at Exhibit
19	45, please.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. I think you said when we looked at
22	Exhibit 45 before that you're probably the
23	author of Exhibit 45?
24	A. Yes. Ex. <u>10 Pg. 67</u>

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

Soerace acerace

(*)

82

•

Α. Yes. 1 In Step 3A there's a reference to Q. 2 hexamer primers? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And I think this morning you told me Q. 5 that you would generally consider the reference 6 to hexamer primers to commercially available 7 random hexamer primers? 8 As I understood it, yes. 9 Α. In looking at that term here and 10 Q. remembering the language that we just looked at 11 in Column 15 about nonspecific amplification, do 12 you understand that reference to hexamer primers 13 to be a reference to random hexamer primers in 14 Figure 5? 15 well, if they are random hexamer 16 Α. primers, yes, I guess that would be what I was 17 led to believe. 18 Random hexamer primers would be used 19 0. in nonspecific amplification? 20 Right. That's what John had led me to Α. 21 22 believe back when. Turning to Figure 6, again, in Step 23 0. 3A, there's a reference to hexamer primers. DO 24 10 Pg. 68 Ex. MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

(212) 557-7400

ositeo. Ceteeoo

ΠJ

specially tailored primers are needed, do you 1 have any understanding why someone would then 2 use specific primers? 3 MR. BANKS: Object to form. 4 You would want to use any kind you Α. 5 could, not just specific, nonspecific; 6 anything. You would want all aspects. 7 Looking at example four, the last 8 0. paragraph, which is in Column 31, about 9 Line 16 --10 I'm sorry, repeat where the location Α. 11 is? 12 About Line 16 of Column 31. 13 0. Okay. 14 Α. There's a reference there to the 15 Q. resulting nonspecific transcription. Do you see 16 that? 17 18 Α. Yes. Example five, the first paragraph, do 19 0. you see that it refers to nonspecific 20 replication? 21 Oh. I see it. 22 Α. Is it your understanding that example 23 0. five is describing a method in which nonspecific 24 E. 10 Pg. 67 MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

ostao aceetaeo

ΠŲ

140 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY primers are used? 1 MR. BANKS: Object to form. 2 That's what it says, I think. 3 Α. The same with example six. Do you see **Q**. 4 in example six, which is Column 31, at about 5 Line 63, the example refers to the use of random 6 hexamer primer oligonucleotides? 7 Right. Α. 8. Example six is a method describing 9 0. nonspecific primers? 10 MR. BANKS: Object to form. 11 Is that correct? 12 Q. I'm reading it, yes. Α. 13 And example seven, which is Column 32, 14 Q. at about Line 13, it talks about replicating 15 nonspecifically. Do you see that? 16 what it says is it's a precise 17 Α. transcript is purified. I'm reading it, but I'm 18 not sure in this case what the specificity is 19 imparted. The hybrid duplex is then denatured. 20 I'm not sure what the -- I have to I can read. 21 look at the -- is there a figure for this? 22 T don't think that there is. 23 Q. It sounds like there's specificity 24 Α. Ex. <u>/0</u> Pg. <u>70</u> MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

osisos adecedo

ñIJ

1	involved in the capture probe. I'm sorry,
2	what's the question in No. 7?
3	Q. Is it your understanding that the
4	amplification step in example seven uses
5	nonspecific primers?
6	A. Does it use nonspecific primers? It
7	appears that's what it says.
8	Q. So when we look at examples five, six
9	and seven, all of them use nonspecific primers
10	in the amplification step?
11	A. In some aspect.
12	MR. BOWEN: Take a five-minute break.
13	VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. The
14	time is 2:04.
15	(Recess)
16	VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record.
17	The time is 2:17.
18	BY MR. BOWEN:
19	Q. Dr. Richards, when you were at
20	Gene-Trak, did you ever have an understanding
21	that Gene-Trak, as an organization, thought that
22	using random primers and target capture might be
23	a method that was more suitable for automation
24	than PCR?
	Ex. <u>/ 0</u> Pg. <u>7/</u>

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

osezeo. Joezeoe

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY patents to the partnership committee, the 1 management committee of Gene-Trak, you were the 2 person who made the presentations? 3 Object to form. MR. BANKS: 4 MR. BOWEN: What don't you like about 5 it? 6 Lack of foundation. MR. BANKS: 7 Okay. MR. BOWEN: 8 when presentations on patents were 9 Q. made to the partnership committee, did you make 10 the presentations? 11 12 Α. Yes. And you did that about once a quarter? 13 Q. Α. Yes. 14 You had been on the patent committee? 15 Q. By December of 1989, you had been on the patent 16 committee for Gene-Trak for a number of years? 17 Yes. 18 Α. You had access to and discussed patent 19 Q. matters with Gene-Trak's patent counsel? 20 21 Α. Yes. You discussed the application for the 22 0. '338 patent with Gene-Trak's patent counsel? 23 I don't remember. 24 Α. Ex. <u>/0</u> Pg. <u>72</u>

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

Ō

N

۵

J.

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY You made presentations on target 1 0. capture patents to the scientific advisory board 2 of Gene-Trak? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Let me show you what we will mark as 5 Q. Exhibit 121, which is a document entitled at the 6 top "Business Development, August 3, 1988." 7 Do you believe you prepared Exhibit 8 121? 9 (Document marked as Exhibit 121) 10 for identification) 11 12 I believe so, yes. Α. Exhibit 121 is an evaluation of 13 Q. patents and licenses? 14 15 Α. Yes. You evaluated these technologies as 16 **Q**. part of your job as director of business 17 development and licensing? 18 19 Α. Yes. In December, 1989, what were your 20 0. sources of understanding about what the pending 21 patent application for the technology that's 22 covered by the '338 patent was about? What were 23 your sources of information for your 24 <u>/0</u> Pg. <u>73</u> Ex.__

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

R

Y

C D

2

C

NU

<u>الم</u>

Ŋ

 \square

ñ

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY understanding? 1 what date? Α. 2 December, 1989. Q. 3 what was my understanding? Α. 4 As of December, 1989, did you have an 5 0. understanding about what technology was covered 6 by the '338 patent? 7 8 Α. Yes. What were your sources of information 9 0. for that understanding? 10 A. My recollection of my conversations 11 with John years before, and just simply a 12 nonspecific way of amplifying. 13 I will show you what we will mark as 14 0. Exhibit 131 to your deposition. Last week, did 15 you remember writing a letter to Dr. Orgell in 16 December, 1989 concerning the subject matter of 17 the '338 patent? 18 (Document marked as Exhibit 131 19 for identification) 20 Last week? 21 Α. 22 Yes. Q. I do not remember seeing this until I 23 Α. saw it the other day. 24 Ex. 10 Pg. 74 MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

(212) 557-7400

		TO
	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	
1	Dr. Orgell	
2	A. Orgell.	
3	Q Amoco was a partner in Gene-Trak?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. Amoco owned half of Gene-Trak; is that	
6	right?	
7	A. A large percentage. I don't remember	
8	how much.	
9	Q. And Dr. Orgell was the general manager	
10	of research at Amoco Technology?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. In the corporate ladder, is Dr. Orgell	
13	up the ladder from you?	
14	A. Oh, yes. He's Amoco. I was not in	
15 .	Amoco.	
16	Q. He worked directly at Amoco?	
17	A. No. I was a Gene-Trak employee.	
18	Q. Amoco owned half of Gene-Trak?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Did you consider Dr. Orgell, in any	
21	sense, to be one of your bosses?	
22	A. I considered him like a venture	
23	capital I mean, he's a finance he's one of	
24	the people that bankrolls the company, and a guy	
	$Ex. / \partial Pg. / C$	
	MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.	

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

ESE DOC CEESO

•

.

 \odot

	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
1	I have to convince to pursue technology.
2	Q. Looking at the people who received ccs
3	of this letter, Patrick Connoy was your boss at
4	Gene-Trak?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Dr. Royer was another bigwig at Amoco
7	Technology?
8	A. He was my boss at Amoco.
9	Q. He was on the Gene-Trak scientific
10	advisory board?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. He had been at scientific advisory
13	board meetings where you made presentations on
14	the target capture patents?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Was he also on the partnership
17	committee?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Was Dr. Orgell on the partnership
20	committee?
21	A. No, not that I remember.
22	Q. Now, a cc apparently of this letter,
23	Exhibit 131, also apparently went to Mr.
24	Carpenter?
	Ex. <u>/0</u> Pg. <u>76</u>
	MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

.

D9E33906 . CE1E0E

.

 \bigcirc

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Yes. 1 Α. I think you've already said that he 2 0. was the president of Gene-Trak and worked at 3 Integrated Genetics and then Gensyme? 4 Yes. Α. 5 At some point in time Integrated 0. 6 Genetics merged with Gensyme; is that right? 7 Α. Yes. 8 When you wrote letters to Dr. Orgell 9 0. and sent copies to Mr. Connoy and Dr. Royer and 10 Mr. Carpenter, did you try to be accurate? 11 I tried to be accurate, yes. Α. 12 I'd like you to look at Page 1 of the 13 0. letter. You had a chance, when you went with 14 Mr. Banks, to read your description here on 15 Pages 1 and 2 of Technology Asset No. 1? 16 17 Α. Yes. And after reading that, did you have 18 **Q**. the understanding that what's set forth here is 19 a discussion of the subject matter of the '338 20 21 patent? MR. BANKS: Object to form. 22 I only knew this then as however I 23 ^A. reference -- I don't know. It's just something 24 /0_Pg._/7 Ex.

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

ΠIJ

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS & EYES ONLY of that ever change your understanding about 1 what the patent covered? 2 I'm sorry. Α. 3 That was a terrible question, wasn't 0. 4 5 it. T don't understand. Α. 6 whether you were right or wrong, the 7 0. letter sets forth your impression at the time of 8 what technology was covered by a patent 9 application that was pending? 10 object to form. MR. BANKS: 11 I will repeat this again. I assumed 12 Α. this was the same stuff John had talked to me 13 about years before. I didn't want to see it 14 drop. It's that simple. There isn't any more 15 or less to it. 16 The letter does, though, set forth 17 0. your understanding of what the technology was? 18 Yes, as I understood it, and as I 19 Α. could relay it. 20 Did your understanding ever change 21 0. after you wrote the letter? 22 No. I don't think so. 23 Α. Did anybody who got a copy of the 24 Q. Ex. <u>10</u> Pg. <u>78</u>

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

Ň

		10:
	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS (EYES ONLY	
1	letter call you or write you and tell you you	
2	had inaccurately described the technology?	
3	A. I don't remember. I don't remember.	
4	I don't even know if they read it.	
5	Q. But you don't remember anybody calling	
6	you '	
7	A. I don't remember that.	
8	Q. I'm sorry, I've got to get the whole	
9	question out.	
10	You don't remember anybody calling you	
11	and telling you you had incorrectly described	
12	the technology?	
13	A. I don't remember.	
14	Q. As you sit here today, do you have any	
15	reason to believe that you misunderstood the	
16	technology covered by the pending patent	
17	application?	
18	A. No. I think it's what I've read,	
19	no.	
20	Q. Do you know why there's no reference	
21	in the patent to PCR type amplification?	
22	A. NO. I didn't write it.	
23	Q. Now, in 1986/1987, a scientist who was	
24	going to use nonspecific amplification would Ex. <u>/o</u> Pg. <u>79</u>	
	MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.	

MANHATTAN REPORTING (212) 557-7400

.

ada zerae "etaec

165

(i) come from Tony. But this stuff on and on, you 1 go on. Temperature required, another approach 2 would be to transcriptase. All of this was free 3 form text writing. I was trying to sell Carl 4 Orgell to pick this thing up. I didn't want to 5 get too technical, or he would put it down, 6 which is probably what everybody did anyway. 7 You wanted to be accurate in Q. 8 describing --9 Tried to be as accurate as possible. 10 Α. we've talked about Tony here in our 11 0. recent conversations. Tony was Tony Janiuk? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And he was Gene-Trak's patent counsel? 14 Q. He sat across the way. 15 Α. Yes, he was Gene-Trak's patent 16 Q. 17 counsel? 18 Α. Yes. And you had discussions with him about 19 0. the CIP application? 20 21 Yes, clearly. Α. 22 In 1989, did you have any Q. understanding at all of the term "reduction to 23 practice"? 24 Ex. <u>10</u> Pg. <u>80</u>

> MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP. (212) 557-7400

erzo, adezzec

Ũ

